1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC		
	Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 147392 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3154 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2013-657		
12	CHRISTINA GARCIA P.O. Box 968		
13	Brawley, CA 92227 ACCUSATION		
14	Registered Nurse License No. 650436		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of		
21	Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about December 31, 2004, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered		
23	Nurse License Number 650436 to Christina Garcia (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License		
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
25	October 31, 2014, unless renewed.		
26	///		
. 27	111		
28	111		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811(b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following...
- 7. Section 2762 of the Code states:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

- (a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.
- (b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license....

COST RECOVERY

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUGS

9. <u>Methamphetamine</u> is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(d)(2), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FACTS

- 10. On January 29, 2011 at about 2055 hours, Officer D., an officer with the Brawley Police Department, was dispatched to Respondent's home with regard to an alleged burglary. Upon arrival, Respondent advised the officer that I.Q. had stolen a Bulova wristwatch from a table in her home and ran off. Respondent explained that she sometimes hired I.Q. to wash her car and cut her grass. She told I.Q. to leave earlier in the day because he appeared to be under the influence of a controlled substance. I.Q. returned to her residence and asked to use her telephone. Respondent stated she opened the front door to get the telephone from a table located near the door when I.Q. pushed through the door and grabbed her wristwatch. Respondent described the watch as silver with a white face surrounded by diamonds and estimated the value of the watch at \$200 or \$250.
- 11. While the officer was taking Respondent's statement, Respondent's cell phone rang. Respondent stated the caller was I.Q. and permitted the officer to answer the call. The officer told I.Q. that Respondent accused him of stealing her wristwatch. I.Q. told the officer he was on his way back to Respondent's home to speak with him.
- 12. Another officer intercepted I.Q. on B Street and Officer D. went to B Street to interview I.Q. I.Q. was searched but the watch was not found in his possession. I.Q. was arrested

on the basis of Respondent's statements. I.Q. denied taking Respondent's watch and stated that he was seeing Respondent "on the side" and that she had falsely accused him so he would go back to prison because I.Q. refused to leave his girlfriend. I.Q. told Officer D. that he was with Respondent last night and they had used methamphetamines.

- 13. After interviewing I.Q., Officer D. returned to Respondent's home. Respondent denied using drugs with I.Q. but stated that she took him to the methadone clinic earlier that day. Respondent agreed to perform some tests. She performed a Rhomberg stand and she estimated 13 seconds to be 30 seconds. Officer D. took Respondent's pulse rate and found it to be elevated at 120 beats per minute. Officer D. checked Respondent's pupilary reaction and found it to be slow with slight rebound dilation. Based on Respondent's symptoms, Officer D. believed Respondent to be under the influence of a controlled substance. Officer H. responded to Officer D.'s location and performed the same tests and also concluded that Respondent was under the influence of a controlled substance.
- 14. Later at the police station, I.Q. claimed that he and Respondent spent last night "doing glass". Glass is slang for methamphetamine. According to I.Q, Respondent then "took [I.Q.] to the methadone clinic and then to the recycler. After the recycler, [I.Q.] got more glass and she did a line and then she slammed the rest of it into my neck." Officer D. observed a small red injection site on I.Q.'s neck. The watch was not found on I.Q. and Respondent was not able to provide any documentation for the watch.
- 15. While at the station, Respondent agreed to provide a urine sample. Officer D. performed a preliminary analysis of a portion of the sample using a RediTest. The test produced a positive result for the presence of amphetamines. The remaining sample was taken to the laboratory and yielded a positive result for amphetamines.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), for unprofessional conduct for being under the influence of a controlled substance on January 29,

2	
.3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1

2011, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 10 - 15 and incorporated herein as though set forth in full.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Self-administration of Controlled Substance)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2762, subdivision (a), for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent obtained and administered a controlled substance to herself, to wit, amphetamines, on or about January 29, 2011, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 10 - 15 and incorporated herein as though set forth in full.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Use of Controlled Substance)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2762, subdivision (b), for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent used a controlled substance, to wit, amphetamines, on or about January 29, 2011, in a manner dangerous to herself, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 10 - 15 and incorporated herein as though set forth in full.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 650436, issued to Christina Garcia;
- 2. Ordering Christina Garcia to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 9eloniary 21 2013

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2012704493/70683672.doc